

Implementing an Assessment Prioritization Process

Richard D. Methot Jr.
Science Advisor for Stock Assessments

Briefing for
Council Coordination Committee
Virginia Beach, VA
May 15, 2014



Recent History of Prioritization Project

- Presented to CCC in February 2014 and released for Council and public comment, with May 1 due date
- Subsequent phone conference with ASMFC and meetings with S. Atlantic SSC and with Northeast Regional Coordination Council

Comments Received From:

- Formal response:
 - Gulf Mexico FMC
 - Ocean Conservancy
 - Four individuals
- Draft comments, with full response under development:
 - NRCC
 - S. Atlantic SSC
 - ASMFC

Comment Summary

- General support for a prioritization process
- General support for more update assessments vs. full benchmarks
- Support for using fishery value, not weight, as basis for importance
- Clarification needed on relative role of NMFS vs. Councils in implementation
- Support for use of less complete assessments for lower priority stocks; e.g. data-limited methods



Some Concerns

- Some want to see prototype implementation before being able to comment further
- Flexibility to adapt to changing conditions may be limited
- Politics may still trump the proposed process
- Process may de-emphasize some current good assessments that are protecting stocks from overfishing
- Workload of implementation can detract from assessment efforts
- Surveys and data collection need attention too



Some Implementation Ideas

- Should measure recreational importance as value, not weight
- Role of Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis was supported by one and questioned by another; needs resources to do this work
- Need good indicators (Rumble Strips) in between less frequent assessments
- Coordination of assessments for associated species has merit
- Database needs more information on performance of past assessments
- Tiered assessment needs should be coordinated with management needs for non-target stocks
- Management strategy evaluation and risk analysis are tools to make a prioritization system more quantitative; i.e. what is the marginal value of conducting each assessment?



Updated Short-Term Implementation Steps

- 1. Create database of needed information as an added table in the Species Information System summer 2014;
 - a) Major step is creating fishery importance scores
- 2. Edit document using comments received and to clarify roles of SSCs and Councils and Commissions summer 2014;
- 3. Prototype prioritization system in at least one region fall 2014; propose Northeast and Pacific west coast
- 4. Discuss with National SSC fall 2014;
- 5. Present updated approach to CCC first opportunity
- 6. Make database available to groups charged with setting priorities for regional assessments winter 2015

